

How to succeed with money, Nixon's ways, is to use none of your own.

This way you can't ~~lose~~ loose and you can get to be a millionaire, as he did.

~~Hezadmix:mdxzxx~~

What we have seen in Donald's earlier dealings with Howard Hughes, where he made a loan of over \$200,000 and secured it with land worth about a quarter that amount is the greasy kid stuff of the Nixon ~~Ex~~ who became President. (Hughes expected that in making this poor-risk non-loan to Donald he was buying Donald's brother's good will, according to old Noah Dietrick. ~~Post 12/16/73~~) As soon as he became President Nixon bought several valuable properties without putting an off his own cash in one and next to none in the other. These are the famous Key Biscayne and San Clemente homes and contiguous land.

With anyone other than Nixon and particularly with a "liberal" this scandalous misconduct, this commercializing of the Presidency would have been called crooked. With Nixon it wasn't and it wasn't by any means his crookedest dealings.

In this he is different from the Nazis in Germany and the Fascisti in Italy in degree only.

Financial corruption, is not unknown in decent governments, is a pervading characteristic of all totalitarian regimes of the right.

When the partisans captured Mussolini and his mistress in northern Italy, I know from secret papers that passed through my hands ~~the~~ when I was in intelligence that they also captured several dozen pieces of luggage, including much of Mussolini's financial boodle. (The records then disappeared in the Pentagon and as though by magic major American corporations were able to buy into the more lucrative parts of Italian industry.) Goering's self-aggrandizement was an unhidden as his belly. He renamed the properties he stole after himself. Nixon's personal life-style more closely resembles Hitler's than that of royalty. His personal life and his tastes are those of the nouveau riche dictator, nor one born to ~~unwashed~~ royal cloth and raised in good taste and with sensitive to what is considered cultural. He is the hamburger, the hot-dog fancier, the liker of catsup, even on his cottage cheese.

His affinity in his few friends, another faithful rendering of the paranoia in

2

Hitler, is for those who remind of Goering's dictum about culture, when he heard of it he reached for his pistol. ~~He~~ Nixon could abide the Johnny Cash level in culture as his apparently close friendships could be restricted to the "ebozos and the Abplanalps."

With all dictatorial systems, the real financial corruption is not with the ruler, who doesn't need it, his desires being more than adequately cared for by the unconsented tax-payers. It is for those who support him, major banking, commercial and industrial interests. It was so with Mussolini and Hitler and it was so with Nixon.

In the wake of the opening of the Watergate there was a flood of proofs of official corruptions and ~~official~~ of payoffs. Nixon didn't get all those millions, 60 admitted, for a sure-thing re-election campaign from those who were entranced by his manly beauty, his ~~charm~~ charisma, his grace or his brilliant leadership. He was paid off. The payoff was not limited to large sums of money. It included political support, the help of those of real power. In return, these overly-rich expected to and did get richer, their added riches a direct consequence of Nixonian acts and policy.

With the establishing of the Office of Special Prosecutor it was assured that enough to establish financial corruption would come to light. It was also a sure thing that all of it would never be exposed. However, more than enough is, in the papers, in court records and in prosecutions. There is no doubt that the basic character of the "law-and-order" Nixon administrations was an all-pervading financial corruption. Crime, as in Nazi Germany, where it became a state monopoly, was moved from the streets and the poor into the White House.

There may be and in my opinion there are more important moral and ethical crimes of the Nixon Presidency than his financial crookedness, for personal and political reasons. His policies and his bestial implementation of them in Southeast Asia, paralleled in my view only by Hitler's policies in recent history, are the ultimate in official criminality. His domestic policies and acts run these a close second.

But the financial crookedness is visible and more comprehensible. However, the media made it much less comprehensible than it could have been. In what it did not put together for popular comprehension the media hid the degree to which Nixon would commercialize

anything, like his wife and kids and their dog in his Checkers extremity, Colson expressed Nixon's own philosophy in telling the White House staff it had to do anything and everything to get Nixon re-elected. And that to this end he, Colson, would trample his own grandmother.

That Nixon would do almost anything and with almost anybody for money should have been well known to the media which avoided ^{this} it all it could in all the reporting. Nixon's record in financial matter is so overly clear what could become public could almost be expected. The case of a Washington Post clipping I kept on my desk for more than a half year illustrates just how predictable this was. It is a May 26, 1973 story by Morton 'intz, a Post staff writer. The ~~point~~ point became apparent in December, when Nixon could no longer avoid making financial disclosures. When he did, the Post did not recall its own story, the same one Ix had laid out for expected use.

Pick up with Bobst gift to Tricia and payoff, not avuncular love